

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,103	09/912,103 07/23/2001		Huong Thanh Nguyen	5619/DD/LOW K/JW	4476	
32588	7590	02/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MATERIAI T BLVD. M/	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KHIEM D			
	ARA, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	,		2823	· · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/912,103	NGUYEN ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khiem D Nguyen	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	_					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.	Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other: William David Coleman						
		Primary Examiner				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/912,103

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants contend that Xu et al. does not teach, show, or suggest etching an organosilicate layer with a gas mixture comprising a hydrogen-containing fluorocarbon and one or more gases selected from the group consisting of hydrogen (H2), nitrogen (N2), oxygen (O2), argon (Ar), and helium (He), as recited in claims 1 and 21, and claims dependent thereon.

In response to Applicants' contention that Xu et al. does not teach, show, or suggest etching an organosilicate layer with a gas mixture comprising a hydrogen-containing fluorocarbon and one or more gases selected from the group consisting of hydrogen (H2), nitrogen (N2), oxygen (O2), argon (Ar), and helium (He), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants are directed to (page 9, lines 29-36, Xu et al.), where Xu et al. disclose that the gas mixture may comprising a hydrogen-containing fluorocarbon such as trifluoromethane (CHF3), difluoromethane (CH2F2), or combination thereof. The gas mixture may optionally include an inert gas such as Helium (He), argon (Ar), neon (Ne), and xenon Xe. Thus, Xu et al. teaches Applicant's claimed invention. For this reason, Examiner holds the rejection proper.